

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/606,039	06/25/2003	Bruce E. Thomas	8372/89823	8372/89823 3931	
24628	7590 08/29/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
WELSH &	kATZ, LTD	JOHNSON,	JOHNSON, BLAIR M		
120 S RIVE 22ND FLO	ERSIDE PLAZA OR	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
CHICAGO, IL 60606			3634		
•			DATE MAILED: 08/29/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/606,039	THOMAS ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Blair M. Johnson	3634	

	Blair M. Johnson	3634				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress			
THE REPLY FILED <u>15 August 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A	PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR	R ALLOWANCE.				
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or o this application, applicant must timely file one of the follo places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in comp following time periods: 	wing replies: (1) an amendment, a otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	ffidavit, or other evide compliance with 37 (ence, which CFR 41.31; or			
a) The period for reply expires <u>4</u> months from the mailing date of	the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO						
MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f)) and the annuariate act	majon for have			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on peen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension a CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened stated above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months pearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL	nd the corresponding amount of the fee. atutory period for reply originally set in the	The appropriate extension final Office action; or (2)	on fee under 37 as set forth in (b)			
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in come of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any e Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be AMENDMENTS	xtension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal o	of the appeal.			
	but prior to the data of filing a brid	f will not be entered	hoogusa			
3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further co (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below)	nsideration and/or search (see NO		because			
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or		educing or simplifying	the issues for			
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally re	jected claims.				
NOTE: (a) the rigid screen portion, etc., is new. S	uch is also taught by the prior art.	For example, see 138	<u>B in Kemp</u> . (See			
4. \square The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		ompliant Amendment	t (PTOL-324).			
i. 🔲 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 112(2) rejection.						
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a the non-allowable claim(s).	·	-				
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ill be entered and an	explanation of			
Claim(s) allowed: <u>none</u> .						
Claim(s) objected to: <u>none</u> .						
Claim(s) rejected: <u>96-106</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		•				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, b because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a			
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the control of the contr	on of the status of the claims after o	entry is below or attac	ched.			
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	it does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	ance because:			
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). 13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper		1			
		Blair M. Johnson Primary Examiner Art Unit: 3634	ahna			

